JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, Individually and As Parents and Natural

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

DEFENDANTS Community Health Systems, Inc. d/b/a Easton Hospital, Northampton

Guardians of Sean Pugh, A Minor			Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Easton Hospital, Steward Easton			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Burlington County, I			Hospital d/b/a Easton Hospital, et al.			
(B) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Burlington County, (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Northampton County, PA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Thomas A. Lynam, III, Es Villari, Lentz & Lynam, Ll	squire/Leonard G. Villari, Esq	luire				
	302, Philadelphia, PA 19103	3 215-568-1990				
			TTIZENSHID OF D	DINCIDAL DADTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainlif	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		<i>"'yy</i> III. C	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part	tv) Citiz		F DEF I	PTF DEF rincipal Place ☐ 4 🕱 4	
	,	<i>"</i>		of Business In 7		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Part)		en of Another State	2		
Detendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parti	·		of Business In .		
			en or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗂 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		annen vunn kolonia kolonia kirin voi antikave lekina 🗻			of Suit Code Descriptions.	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PER		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 365 I	Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Product Liability 69 Health Care/	90 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & F	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act		Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	1	Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	460 Deportation470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits			LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY Begin to the second of the	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 7	Truth in Lending	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		Other Personal Property Damage	20 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 🗖 385 F	Property Damage	40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
		Product Liability 75	51 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY			90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure		eas Corpus: 79 Alien Detainee	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 1 1	Motions to Vacate	•	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 C	Sentence General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property		Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes	
	Employment Othe 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 M		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration			
		Civil Rights Prison Condition	Actions			
	□ 560 C	Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)					
	noved from 3 Remande te Court Appellat		pened Another	rred from	ı - Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute und	er which you are filing G	(specify)		Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. Sections 1332		oo noi cae jarisaseaonai saa	uics unicss arrersity).		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of cause: Personal Injuries under to	theories of strict liah	nility and negligence			
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CI		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23, F.R.C	v.P.		JURY DEMAND:	Yes □No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGN		OF RECORD			
02/03/2020						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT# AM	IOUNT	PPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

Case 5:20-cv-00630-JDW Document 1 Filed 02/03/20 Page 2 of 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	8 Peyton Court, Marlton, NJ 08053						
Address of Defendant: 250 South 21st Street, Easte	on, PA 18042, 2005 Fairview Avenue	e, Suite B, Easton, PA 18042	& 1208 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	21st Street, East						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number:	Judge:	Date	e Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	to any of the following question	ns:					
Is this case related to property included in an eapreviously terminated action in this court?	rithin one year Y	Yes No ✓					
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminate	as a prior suit Y	es No 🗸					
 Does this case involve the validity or infringem numbered case pending or within one year prev 		res No 🗸					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpu case filed by the same individual?	e civil rights Y	res No 🗸					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any ca	se now pending or within					
DATE: 02/03/2020 Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff			83817				
	Allorney-al-Law / Pro Se	Plainty	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	В. Д	iversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA	□ 2	. Airplane Personal Inju					
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust	<u> </u>	Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury					
5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations	☑ 6	Motor Vehicle PersonaOther Personal Injury	al Injury (Please specify): Medical Malpractice				
7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	□ 7 □ 8	Products LiabilityProducts Liability – As	sbestos				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	□ 9	All other Diversity Cas (Please specify):	ies				
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):							
(The effect	ARBITRATION CER of this certification is to remove the		itration.)				
I, Thomas A. Lynam, III, Esquire	ounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, o	o hereby certify;					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2 exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive control of the sum of \$15	2), that to the best of my knowled		recoverable in this civil action case				
Relief other than monetary damages is sou	ght.	g.					
DATE: 02/03/2020			83817				
NOTE	Attorney-at-Law Pro Se	33	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there h	as been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

215-568-1990	215-568-9920	tlynam@vll-law.com			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
February 3, 2020	1	Plaintiffs, Ashley, Daniel and Sean Pugh			
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)		
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	Cases that do not fall into to complex and that need spide of this form for a detail	ecial or intense management by			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal inj	ury or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Track Dese a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant does thall, with its first appearanties, a Case Management	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counse signation Form in all civil cases at the tin (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reves not agree with the plaintiff regarding nce, submit to the clerk of court and serve Track Designation Form specifying the tagged.	ne o verse said e or		
il.	:	NO.			
hley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, Indivi Parents and Natural Guardians o v. mmunity Health Systems, Inc. d/b/	f Sean Pugh, A Minor: :	CIVIL ACTION			
black Development Demint Development	alcoalles and	CIVII ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ASHLEY PUGH and DANIEL PUGH,

Individually, and as Parents and Natural

Guardians of SEAN PUGH, a Minor : CIVIL ACTION

8 Peyton Court

Marlton, NJ 08053,

Plaintiffs : No.

v.

COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.

d/b/a EASTON HOSPITAL

250 South 21st Street

Easton, PA 18042

and

NORTHAMPTON HOSPITAL COMPANY, LLC:

d/b/a EASTON HOSPITAL

250 South 21st Street

Easton, PA 18042

and

STEWARD EASTON HOSPITAL, INC. d/b/a

EASTON HOSPITAL

250 South 21st Street

Easton, PA 18042

and

STEWARD HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, LLC

d/b/a STEWARD EASTON HOSPITAL, INC.

and STEWARD MEDICAL GROUP, INC. and

EASTON HOSPITAL

250 South 21st Street

Easton, PA 18042

and

STEWARD HEALTH CARE NETWORK, INC.

1209 Orange Street

Wilmington, DE 19801

and

NORTHAMPTON CLINIC COMPANY, LLC

d/b/a EASTON AREA OBSTETRICS &

GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATES

2005 Fairview Avenue, Suite B

Easton, PA 18042

and

STEWARD MEDICAL GROUP, INC. d/b/a

EASTON AREA OBSTETRICS & :

GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATES :

2005 Fairview Avenue, Suite B :

Easton, PA 18042 :

and

DOUHA SABOUNI, M.D. :

2005 Fairview Avenue, Suite B : Easton, PA 18042, :

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, Individually, as Parents and Natural Guardians of Sean Pugh, a Minor, and through their counsel, Villari, Lentz & Lynam, hereby file this Civil Action, and in support thereof aver as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs Ashley Pugh ("Mrs. Pugh") and Daniel Pugh ("Mr. Pugh"), wife and husband, are adult individuals, residing at 8 Peyton Court, Marlton, New Jersey 08053.
- 2. Plaintiff Sean Pugh ("Sean") is a five (5) year old minor (D.O.B. 01/23/14), residing at 8 Peyton Court, Marlton, New Jersey 08053 with his parents and natural guardians, Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh (collectively "Plaintiffs").
- 3. Defendant Community Health Systems, Inc. d/b/a Easton Hospital ("CHS"), against whom allegations of professional negligence are hereby made, is a Pennsylvania corporation with a business address located at 250 South 21st Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant CHS acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.

- 5. Defendant CHS, as principal, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 6. Defendant Northampton Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Easton Hospital ("NHC"), against whom allegations of professional negligence are hereby made, is a Delaware corporation with a business address located at 250 South 21st Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant NHC acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.
- 8. Defendant NHC, as principal, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendants CHS and NHC owned, operated, managed and/or controlled Easton Hospital.
- 10. In the spring of 2017, Easton Hospital was acquired by Co-Defendants Steward Easton Hospital, Inc. and Steward Health Care System, LLC.
- 11. Defendant Steward Easton Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Easton Hospital ("SEH"), is a Delaware corporation with a business address located at 250 South 21st Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendant SEH acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.

- 13. Defendant SEH, as principal and successor-in-interest, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 14. Defendant Steward Health Care System, LLC d/b/a Steward Easton Hospital, Inc, Steward Medical Group, Inc. and Easton Hospital ("SHCS"), is a Delaware corporation with a business address located at 250 South 21st Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 15. At all times material hereto, Defendant SHCS acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.
- 16. Defendant SHCS, as principal and successor-in-interest, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 17. Defendant Steward Health Care Network, Inc. ("SHCN") is a Delaware corporation with a registered corporate address located at Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.
- 18. Defendant SHCN consists of a vast physician network that staffs Steward hospitals across nine states under SHCS, including Easton Hospital.
- 19. At all times material hereto, Defendant SHCN acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.

- 20. Defendant SHCN, as principal and successor-in-interest, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 21. Defendant Northampton Clinic Company, LLC d/b/a Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates ("NCC"), is a Pennsylvania corporation with a business address located at 2005 Fairview Avenue, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 22. At all times material hereto, Defendant NCC acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.
- 23. Defendant NCC, as principal, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 24. At all times material hereto, Defendant NCC owned, operated, managed and/or controlled Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates.
- 25. In the spring of 2017, Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates was acquired by Co-Defendant Steward Medical Group, Inc.
- 26. Defendant Steward Medical Group, Inc. d/b/a Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates ("SMG"), is a Massachusetts corporation with a business address located at 2005 Fairview Avenue, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 27. At all times material hereto, Defendant SMG acted or failed to act, by and through its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with said Defendant in furtherance of Defendant's pecuniary and other interests.

- 28. Defendant SMG, as principal and successor-in-interest, is vicariously or otherwise responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of its agents, ostensible agents, servants and/or work persons, including, but not limited to, co-Defendants herein.
- 29. Defendant, Douha Sabouni, M.D., against whom allegations of professional negligence are hereby made, is an adult individual with an address located at 250 South 21st Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 30. At all times material hereto, Defendant Sabouni was a duly licensed physician engaged in the practice of obstetrics and gynecology at Easton Hospital and Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates.
- 31. At all times material hereto, Defendant Sabouni was one of Mrs. Pugh's treating, ordering and attending physicians, during her January 22-24, 2014 admission at Defendants' facility.
- 32. At all times material hereto, Defendant Sabouni was acting individually and as an agent, ostensible agent, servant, work person and/or employee of Defendants CHS, NHC, and NCC, acting within the scope of her authority and/or employment, for and on the business of said Defendants, and under their control or right of control.
- 33. At all times material hereto, Defendant Sabouni acted and/or failed to act, by and through her agents, ostensible agents, servants, work persons and/or employees, including, but not limited to, office and other medical staff under her supervision, who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority in the course of their relationship with Defendants CHS, NHC, and NCC, in furtherance of said Defendants' pecuniary and other interests.

II. JURISDICTION

34. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because Plaintiffs and Defendants are citizens of different states, and because the amount in controversy exceeds \$150,000.00.

III. VENUE

35. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 36. Plaintiffs Mr. & Mrs. Pugh moved to Easton, PA in December 2013.
- 37. Mrs. Pugh was 26 years old and pregnant for the first time, when she initially presented to Easton Area Obstetrics & Gynecology Associates ("EAOG") on December 31, 2013 for a routine check-up, which was normal.
- 38. Prior to moving to Easton, Mrs. Pugh treated at Cooper University Hospital Ob/Gyn for pre-natal care, and had enjoyed a completely normal pre-natal course without distress, gestational diabetes or complications of any kind.
- 39. Mrs. Pugh was 32 weeks and 5 days into gestation (with an estimated delivery date of February 27, 2014), when she returned to EAOG for an ultrasound on January 7, 2014.
- 40. The January 7, 2014 ultrasound indicated that the baby was normal and had a fetal heart rate of 141 bpm.
- 41. On January 22, 2014, at approximately 6:00 p.m., Mrs. Pugh presented to Easton Hospital complaining of a partial rupture of membranes, after experiencing intermittent leaking that day.
- 42. Mrs. Pugh was admitted to the hospital with a plan of administration of "Pitocin in the am if SOL does not occur".

- 43. At 7:27 p.m. that evening, Mrs. Pugh was noted to be in a "prolonged latent phase" of labor with accelerations 15 x 15.
- 44. At 11:00 p.m., FHR was noted to be 145 with no baseline change, moderate variability (6-25 bpm) and no decelerations.
- 45. On January 23, 2014 at 12:24 a.m., Mrs. Pugh was noted to have a low grade temperature and was administered Tylenol.
- 46. At 7:00 a.m., Defendants administered Pitocin; FHR baseline rate of 145 was observed with no baseline change, moderate variability, no decelerations and 15 x 15 accelerations.
- 47. At 7:48 a.m. and continuing until 9:18 a.m., FHR baseline rate was 150 with no baseline change.
- 48. At 9:18 a.m. and continuing until 10:54 a.m., FHR baseline rate was 145, with no baseline change, moderate variability and no decelerations.
- 49. At 10:54 a.m., FHR tracing was noted to be Category II, with an FHR baseline rate of 142, moderate variability and no decelerations.
- 50. Over the next few hours, FHR remained in the range of 140-150 with no decelerations.
- 51. A 3:20 p.m. OB Provider Progress Note indicates, "Plan: continue present management, Induction, Antibiotic therapy, Anticipate Vaginal Delivery" and "Monitor for chorioamnitis" due to the prolonged labor; at said time, Mr. Pugh was 3 cm dilated, 60% effaced and the baby was at -2 station.
- 52. A 3:47 p.m. OB Provider Progress Notes indicates, "Normal progression of labor" ... cont. Pit[ocin] indxn" and "OK" for epidural.
 - 53. At 5:05 p.m., dilation was noted to be 6.5 cm, effacement 80% and station 0.

- 54. At 5:14 p.m., Defendants noted "Great cervical progression...Prolonged ROM: cont. antibiotics until delivery ... Cont. Pit indxn...Anticipate SVD [spontaneous vaginal delivery] and care signed over to Dr. Sabouni."
 - 55. At 5:16 p.m., FHR baseline rate was 150.
 - 56. At 5:59 p.m., FHR baseline rate was 150 with variable decelerations.
- 57. At 7:00 p.m., Defendant Sabouni examined Mrs. Pugh, and noted her to be 100% effaced, 10 cm dilated, and at station +1; Defendant Sabouni stated that she would let Mrs. Pugh "continue to push until 9 p.m." and "then do a c-section".
- 58. At 7:30 p.m., FHR baseline rate was 135 with moderate variability and no decelerations.
- 59. At 7:59 p.m., FHR tracing was noted to be Category II, with FHR baseline rate of 150 with minimal variability.
 - 60. At 8:00 p.m., Defendants noted "Patient getting tired- pushing ineffective".
- 61. At 8:15 p.m., FHR baseline rate was 150, no baseline change with minimal variability and variable decelerations.
- 62. At 8:30 p.m., "contractions [were] inverted... toco[lytics] adjusted"; FHR baseline rate was 140, no baseline change, with variable decelerations.
 - 63. At 8:32 p.m., it was noted: "Pt to resume pushing. Dr. Sabouni pushing with pt."
- 64. At 8:45 p.m., FHR baseline rate dropped to 125, with moderate variability and variable decelerations.
 - 65. At 8:53 p.m., Defendants recorded "normal progression of labor."
- 66. At 9:00 p.m., Mrs. Pugh asked for the c-section that Defendant Sabouni proposed two hours earlier; Defendant Sabouni declined, stating, "You're close, you can get him out."
 - 67. At said time, FHR baseline rate was 145, with variable decelerations, category II.

- 68. At 9:02 p.m., "Pitocin [was] decreased to milliunits @ 14."
- 69. At 9:14 p.m., Defendants noted, "Dr. Sabouni aware of fetal HR IV fluid bolus given." Pitocin was decreased to milliunits at 12.
- 70. At 9:30 p.m., FHR Baseline rate was 170, with minimal variability decelerations. "Actions for fetal decelerations- IV Bolus."
- 71. An OB Provider Progress Note entered at 9:30 p.m. indicates, "Impression: non reassuring fetal heart rate."
 - 72. The same OB Progress Note provides:

patient was pushing from 7 till 8 o'clock then felt tired. [H]ead came from station +1 to +2. [S]top pushing from 8 till 8:30 then restart pushing. [C]ontx q2-3m. [FHR] cat 2 variable decelerations and at 9:39 sec[ond] to maternal exhaustion C/S was called while preparing for C/S patient was feeling urge to push and was pushing.

- 73. An LD Flowsheet entry at 9:30 p.m. also notes that "C/s called by Dr. Sabouni. Charge nurse made aware, pt to keep pushing until OR team" can be assembled.
 - 74. Unfortunately, no c-section team showed up to deliver the baby.
- 75. At 9:45 p.m., FHR baseline rate was elevated to 175, with baseline changes: "tachycardia." Minimal variability and variable decelerations.
- 76. At 10:00 p.m., Mrs Pugh was having contractions every 1½ minutes for 40-60 seconds in duration; FHR baseline rate was 165, with variable decelerations, category II.
 - 77. Still, however, no surgical team showed up to deliver the baby.
- 78. In fact, the complete lack of response from an OR team was glaring; staff members in the delivery room were now murmuring amongst themselves as Mrs. Pugh lay exhausted from a prolonged labor.

- 79. At 10:15 p.m. -- still with no surgical team appearing to intervene -- the baby's FHR was at 175, with "baseline changes: Tachycardia", variable decelerations, Category II.
- 80. At 10:30 p.m., the FHR baseline rate was at 165, with minimal variability, and variable decelerations; one hour after calling for a c-section, Defendant Sabouni performed a mediolateral episiotomy on both sides, and Mrs. Pugh continued to push.
- 81. At 10:42 p.m. the baby's FHR Baseline rate was at 145, with minimal variability and variable decelerations.
 - 82. At 10:43 p.m., Baby Sean was delivered at 35 weeks.
 - 83. Sean was born 5 lbs. 10 oz. and in **profound distress**.
 - 84. He was born limp and without a heart rate.
 - 85. He had no first gasp or spontaneous respirations.
 - 86. Apgar scores at 1, 5 and 10 minutes were "0".
- 87. He was intubated, administered epinephrine x2, and cardiac compressions were instituted at 10:44 p.m.
 - 88. Sean's heart rate was not detected until 20 minutes of age.
- 89. At 11:05 p.m., an "increase in heart rate and improvement in color" was noted, but the lack of oxygen to his brain had taken its toll.
- 90. An neurologist later appeared in the delivery room and explained to Mr. and Mrs. Pugh that Sean had suffered fetal acidemia and hypoxemia and needed to be transferred to Lehigh Valley Hospital because his "blood gases were not compatible with life."
 - 91. Imaging at 11:26 p.m. also revealed a left pneumothorax.
- 92. At 2:00 a.m., Sean was transferred to Lehigh Valley Hospital, where he was admitted to the NICU.

- 93. At 8:24 a.m., Mrs. Pugh asked "to be discharged today–patient feeling distress about the fetus situation".
- 94. An MRI five days after Sean's birth showed **brain damage**, and liver & kidney damage.
- 95. After spending several weeks in the NICU at Lehigh Valley Hospital, Sean was transferred to Good Shepherd Hospital on February 19, 2014.
- 96. An MRI showed "hypoxic ischemic injury" and an EEG showed "diffuse cerebellar dysfunction".
- 97. Over the ensuing several weeks, Sean was weaned from a feeding tube to oral (breast milk) feeding.
- 98. He was discharged from Good Shepherd on March 12, 2014, with a diagnosis of "severe perinatal asphyxia with multisystem involvement" and "global developmental delay".
- 99. Sean has since undergone extensive treatment with developmental pediatrics at CHOP, and has been diagnosed with moderate autism.
- 100. Sadly, as a result of the entirely preventable fetal acidemia and hypoxemia, Sean has suffered permanent and debilitating injuries, including but not limited to developmental delay, and cognitive impairment and dysfunction.
- 101. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants was due in no manner to any act or failure to act on the part of Plaintiffs.

COUNT I – MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFFS ASHLEY PUGH and DANIEL PUGH, Individually, and as Parents and Natural Guardians of SEAN PUGH, a Minor v. DEFENDANTS CHS, NHC, SEH, SHCS and SHCN

- 102. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the averments set forth in paragraphs 1-101, *supra*, as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 103. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer serious and permanent injuries as set forth in greater detail below.
- 104. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants, acting by and through their duly authorized agents, ostensible agents, servants, work persons and/or employees, consisted of the following:
 - a. Failure to properly manage a prolonged second stage of labor;
 - b. Failure to diagnose a non-reassuring FHR tracing indicating fetal acidemia and hypoxemia;
 - c. Failure to consider shortening the second stage of labor with operative vaginal delivery;
 - d. Failure to perform timely caesarian section if operative vaginal delivery was deemed not feasible;
 - e. Failure to recognize the inappropriate use of Pitocin in setting of category II and category III FHR tracing;
 - f. Failure to recognize and manage loss of FHR variability and fetal tachycardia in setting of fetal prematurity and prolonged second stage of labor;
 - g. Failure to adequately staff the hospital with surgeons to perform stat c-section delivery;
 - h. Increasing the risk of harm to Sean; and
 - i. Depriving Sean of the opportunity to be cured.

- 105. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer, *inter alia*, fetal acidemia; hypoxemia; permanent developmental delay and cognitive impairment; speech and behavioral pathology; autism; physical growth impairment; cardiac murmur; dilated aortic root; physical and emotional pain and suffering; aggravation and/or exacerbation of all known and unknown pre-existing medical conditions; and a severe shock to his entire nervous system and other injuries, the full extent of which is not known.
- 106. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, an inability to perform his usual and daily duties, labors, occupations and avocations.
- 107. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiffs have been, and may in the future be, required to expend various and diverse sums of money in an effort to treat Sean's injuries and provide care for him.
- 108. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has been, and may in the future be, required to undergo significant medical care, evaluation, therapy, treatment, costly medications and invasive and painful medical procedures and surgeries in an effort to treat his injuries and provide care for him.
- 109. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss and/or limitation of earnings and earning potential.
- 110. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss of leisure time, a loss of life's pleasures, and a diminished quality of life.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, individually, and as parents and natural guardians of Sean Pugh, a minor, demand judgment in their favor and against Defendants, for special and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), plus the statutory rate of interest, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

COUNT II – MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFFS ASHLEY PUGH and DANIEL PUGH, Individually, and as Parents and Natural Guardians of SEAN PUGH, a Minor v. DEFENDANTS NCC and SMG

- 111. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the averments set forth in paragraphs 1-110, *supra*, as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 112. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer serious and permanent injuries as set forth in greater detail below.
- 113. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants, acting by and through their duly authorized agents, ostensible agents, servants, work persons and/or employees, consisted of the following:
 - a. Failure to properly manage a prolonged second stage of labor;
 - b. Failure to diagnose a non-reassuring FHR tracing indicating fetal acidemia and hypoxemia;
 - c. Failure to consider shortening the second stage of labor with operative vaginal delivery;
 - d. Failure to perform timely caesarian section if operative vaginal delivery was deemed not feasible:
 - e. Failure to recognize the inappropriate use of Pitocin in setting of category II and category III FHR tracing;
 - f. Failure to recognize and manage loss of FHR variability and fetal tachycardia in setting of fetal prematurity and prolonged second stage of labor;

- g. Increasing the risk of harm to Sean; and
- h. Depriving Sean of the opportunity to be cured.
- 114. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer, *inter alia*, fetal acidemia; hypoxemia; permanent developmental delay and cognitive impairment; speech and behavioral pathology; autism; physical growth impairment; cardiac murmur; dilated aortic root; physical and emotional pain and suffering; aggravation and/or exacerbation of all known and unknown pre-existing medical conditions; and a severe shock to his entire nervous system and other injuries, the full extent of which is not known.
- 115. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, an inability to perform his usual and daily duties, labors, occupations and avocations.
- 116. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiffs have been, and may in the future be, required to expend various and diverse sums of money in an effort to treat Sean's injuries and provide care for him.
- 117. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has been, and may in the future be, required to undergo significant medical care, evaluation, therapy, treatment, costly medications and invasive and painful medical procedures and surgeries in an effort to treat his injuries and provide care for him.
- 118. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss and/or limitation of earnings and earning potential.

119. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss of leisure time, a loss of life's pleasures, and a diminished quality of life.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, individually, and as parents and natural guardians of Sean Pugh, a minor, demand judgment in their favor and against Defendants, for special and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), plus the statutory rate of interest, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

COUNT III – MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFFS ASHLEY PUGH and DANIEL PUGH, Individually, and as Parents and Natural Guardians of SEAN PUGH, a Minor v. DEFENDANT DOUHA SABOUNI, M.D.

- 120. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the averments set forth in paragraphs 1-119, *supra*, as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 121. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer serious and permanent injuries as set forth in greater detail below.
- 122. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants, acting by and through their duly authorized agents, ostensible agents, servants, work persons and/or employees, consisted of the following:
 - a. Failure to properly manage a prolonged second stage of labor;
 - b. Failure to diagnose a non-reassuring FHR tracing indicating fetal acidemia and hypoxemia;
 - c. Failure to consider shortening the second stage of labor with operative vaginal delivery;
 - d. Failure to perform timely caesarian section if operative vaginal delivery was deemed not feasible;

- e. Failure to recognize the inappropriate use of Pitocin in setting of category II and category III FHR tracing;
- f. Failure to recognize and manage loss of FHR variability and fetal tachycardia in setting of fetal prematurity and prolonged second stage of labor;
- g. Increasing the risk of harm to Sean; and
- h. Depriving Sean of the opportunity to be cured.
- 123. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer, *inter alia*, fetal acidemia; hypoxemia; permanent developmental delay and cognitive impairment; speech and behavioral pathology; autism; physical growth impairment; cardiac murmur; dilated aortic root; physical and emotional pain and suffering; aggravation and/or exacerbation of all known and unknown pre-existing medical conditions; and a severe shock to his entire nervous system and other injuries, the full extent of which is not known.
- 124. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, an inability to perform his usual and daily duties, labors, occupations and avocations.
- 125. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiffs have been, and may in the future be, required to expend various and diverse sums of money in an effort to treat Sean's injuries and provide care for him.
- 126. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has been, and may in the future be, required to undergo significant medical care, evaluation, therapy, treatment, costly medications and invasive and painful medical procedures and surgeries in an effort to treat his injuries and provide care for him.

- 127. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss and/or limitation of earnings and earning potential.
- 128. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss of leisure time, a loss of life's pleasures, and a diminished quality of life.

COUNT IV - NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFFS ASHLEY PUGH and DANIEL PUGH, Individually, and as Parents and Natural Guardians of SEAN PUGH, a Minor v. DEFENDANTS CHS, NHC, SHE & SHCS

- 129. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the averments set forth in paragraphs 1-128, *supra*, as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 130. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer serious and permanent injuries as set forth in greater detail below.
- 131. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants, acting by and through their duly authorized agents, ostensible agents, servants, work persons and/or employees, consisted of the following:
 - a. Failure to exercise reasonable care in the maintenance of safe and adequate facilities and equipment;
 - b. Failure to properly select and retain only competent and qualified medical personnel;
 - c. Failure to render reasonable care by failing to properly oversee/ supervise personnel assisting in the provision of care to patients, including Plaintiffs;
 - d. Failure to formulate, adopt and enforce adequate rules and policies to ensure quality care for patients, including Plaintiffs;
 - e. Failure to implement a budget that properly funded Easton Hospital to allow the facility to provide adequate and appropriate care to Plaintiffs, including adequate staff and medical equipment and supplies; and

- f. Knowingly operating an understaffed medical facility so as to maximize profits at the expense of the well being of patients, including Plaintiffs.
- 132. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh was caused to suffer, *inter alia*, fetal acidemia; hypoxemia; permanent developmental delay and cognitive impairment; speech and behavioral pathology; autism; physical growth impairment; cardiac murmur; dilated aortic root; physical and emotional pain and suffering; aggravation and/or exacerbation of all known and unknown pre-existing medical conditions; and a severe shock to his entire nervous system and other injuries, the full extent of which is not known.
- 133. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, an inability to perform his usual and daily duties, labors, occupations and avocations.
- 134. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiffs have been, and may in the future be, required to expend various and diverse sums of money in an effort to treat Sean's injuries and provide care for him.
- 135. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has been, and may in the future be, required to undergo significant medical care, evaluation, therapy, treatment, costly medications and invasive and painful medical procedures and surgeries in an effort to treat his injuries and provide care for him.
- 136. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss and/or limitation of earnings and earning potential.

137. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff Sean Pugh has suffered, and may in the future suffer, a loss of leisure time, a loss of life's pleasures, and a diminished quality of life.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Ashley Pugh and Daniel Pugh, individually, and as parents and natural guardians of Sean Pugh, a minor, demand judgment in their favor and against Defendants, for special and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), plus the statutory rate of interest, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

VILLARI, LENTZ & LYNAM, LLC

THOMAS A. LYNAM, IN, ESQUIRE LEONARD G. VILLARI, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. Nos.: 83817/68844

100 North 20th Street

Suite 302

Philadelphia, PA 19103

P: 215-568-1990

F: 215-568-9920

Email: tlynam@vll-law.com/lgvillari@aol.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Date: February 3, 2020